# NSR Tech Policy: Making Sense of Utah's New Social Media Laws

By Blair Levin and Matt Perault | March 23, 2023

### What's New

- On Thursday, March 23, Utah Governor Chris Cox is expected to sign two online child safety bills that will have major implications for both minors and adults online.
- We anticipate these requirements may increase compliance costs for social media platforms, expose them to litigation, and result in advertising sales moving to other digital platforms.
- As a result, these changes are likely to have two primary effects: (1) they will benefit bigger platforms over smaller ones and (2) they will benefit other digital service providers over social media platforms.

# The Two Proposals

S.B. 152 and H.B. 311 impose a series of new requirements and restrictions on all social media platforms that have more than five million users world-wide. Specifically, the bills:

- Require that social media platforms, narrowly defined as "online forum[s]" that allow users to view and
  upload posts and "interact with other account holders or users," collect parental consent before allowing
  a minor to create an account. Platforms must also provide parents the ability to view all posts by and
  responses to minors, and must restrict minors from using accounts between 10:30 pm and 6:30 am unless
  they have parental permission. These provisions will require platforms to verify the ages of all users.
- Prohibit social media platforms from serving any advertising to minors. Platforms are also prohibited from collecting any data of minors beyond what is required by federal or state law.



- Prohibit platforms from displaying "targeted or suggested groups, services, products, posts, accounts, or users" to minors.
- Create a private right of action for citizens to recover damages from any "addiction, financial, physical, or
  emotional harm suffered as a consequence of using or having an account on the social media company's
  social media platform." If the user is under 16 "there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the harm
  actually occurred and that the harm was caused as a consequence of using or having an account on the
  social media company's social media platform."
- Prohibit social media platforms from using any "practice, design, or feature" that the platform knows may lead to a minor "to have an addiction to the social media platform." As an affirmative defense, platforms can complete quarterly audits to identify and then fix any practice, design, or feature "that have the potential to cause or contribute to the addiction of a minor user."

## **Potential Impact**

- These provisions will likely increase compliance costs for platforms, potentially disproportionately impacting smaller platforms.
- Establishing a private right of action will likely increase platforms' risk of litigation. We believe some platforms may attempt to limit that risk by choosing to prohibit any minor or anyone under 16 from opening an account.
- Banning all advertising to minors may result in digital advertising spending shifting away from social media platforms and to other digital providers.
- Prohibiting platforms from recommending any content, groups, or products to minors may undercut
  platforms functionality. Platforms may not be able to downrank problematic, false, or other "borderline"
  content, and may have to order all content chronologically.



#### **Laws in Context**

- Both <u>NetChoice</u> and <u>TechFreedom</u> have publicly opposed the bills and may file legal challenges to the laws on First Amendment grounds.
- The Utah bills are the latest in a series of state bills from both parties aiming to revise how social media platforms treat children.
- Last year, legislators in California passed the <u>Age-Appropriate Design Act</u>. In addition to requiring covered
  companies complete Data Protection Impact Assessments assessing potential harms to children, it
  requires platforms to set default settings for minors at the highest possible level, and to minimize data
  collected about minors. Democratic legislators in at least 4 <u>other</u> states have introduced bills modeled on
  the AADA.
- Republican legislators in Louisiana passed a <u>law</u> requiring websites hosting adult content to verify the age
  of all users. Legislators in at least 11 other states have introduced similar bills.
- Legislators in at least 8 other states have introduced bills with a series of other approaches, including
   <u>banning</u> all minors from using social media in Texas and <u>requiring all devices</u> to be sold with filters. None
   of these bills has advanced.



Full 12-month historical recommendation changes are available on request

Reports produced by New Street Research LLP, 18th Floor, 100 Bishopsgate, London, EC2N 4AG. Tel:+44 20 7375 9111.

New Street Research LLP is authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority and is registered in the United States with the Securities and Exchange Commission as a foreign investment adviser.

Regulatory Disclosures: This research is directed only at persons classified as Professional Clients under the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority ('FCA'), and must not be re-distributed to Retail Clients as defined in the rules of the FCA.

This research is for our clients only. It is based on current public information which we consider reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. We seek to update our research as appropriate, but various regulations may prevent us from doing so. Most of our reports are published at irregular intervals as appropriate in the analyst's judgment. This research is not an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. It does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients.

All our research reports are disseminated and available to all clients simultaneously through electronic publication to our website.

New Street Research LLC is neither a registered investment advisor nor a broker/dealer. Subscribers and/or readers are advised that the information contained in this report is not to be construed or relied upon as investment, tax planning, accounting and/or legal advice, nor is it to be construed in any way as a recommendation to buy or sell any security or any other form of investment. All opinions, analyses and information contained herein is based upon sources believed to be reliable and is written in good faith, but no representation or warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made herein concerning any investment, tax, accounting and/or legal matter or the accuracy, completeness, correctness, timeliness and/or appropriateness of any of the information contained herein. Subscribers and/or readers are further advised that the Company does not necessarily update the information and/or opinions set forth in this and/or any subsequent version of this report. Readers are urged to consult with their own independent professional advisors with respect to any matter herein. All information contained herein and/or this website should be independently verified.

All research is issued under the regulatory oversight of New Street Research LLP.

Copyright © 2024 New Street Research LLP

No part of this material may be copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form by any means or redistributed without the prior written consent of New Street Research LLP.